In Defense of Merit in Science: Supplemental Information


1University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA; 2University of Bordeaux, Paris, France; 3University of Wisconsin–Eau Claire, Eau Claire, Wisconsin, USA; 4University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; 5University of Austin, Austin, Texas, USA; 6University of Texas, Austin, Texas, USA; 7Aix Marseille University, Marseille, France; 8J. Gutenberg University, Mainz, Germany; 9University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; 10Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia and University of California–Irvine, Irvine, California, USA; 11Rutgers University, New Jersey, USA; 12University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA; 13Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA; 14Williams College, Williamstown, Massachusetts, USA; 15Columbia University, New York City, New York, USA; 16Rochester Community Technical College, Rochester, Minnesota, USA; 17University of California–Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA; 18Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA; 19University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA; 20Justus-Liebig University, Giessen, Germany; 21Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand; 22Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany and Monash University, Australia; 23The Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel and Iowa State University, USA; 24University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; 25Independent Statistician, Pasadena, California, USA; 26Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; 27Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA.

*Corresponding author: krylov@usc.edu

Table of contents:

S1. Examples of Postmodern and Critical Theory Rejection of Science, Reason, Logic, Objective Reality, Enlightenment
S2. Examples of Feminist Perspectives That Embrace Objectivity, Empiricism, Science and Robust Discourse as Part of Truth-Seeking
S3. Anything Can be Problematized, Some Examples
S4. “Equitable Hiring,” Examples of Implementation
S5. Biographical Sketches of the Authors

S1. Examples of Postmodern and Critical Theory Rejection of Science, Reason, Logic, Objective Reality, Enlightenment

Our paper is not a review of these literatures, which are vast. What is presented here is not “representative” of anything except the particular vein of postmodern and critical theory thought that rejects science, reason, etc.


Select quotes:
For the critical race theorist, objective truth, like merit, does not exist, at least in social science and politics. In these realms, truth is a social construct created to suit the purposes of the dominant group.

[referring to CRT’s future prospects]:
The narrative turn and storytelling scholarship seem well on their way toward acceptance, as does the critique of merit.

Critical race theory’s contribution to the defense of affirmative action has consisted mainly of a determined attack on the idea of merit and standardized testing.

**Merit [definition in Delgado & Stefancic, 2001]:** Individual worthiness; critical race scholars question the view that people may be ranked by merit and that distribution of benefits is rational and just.

**Source:** Encyclopedia Britannica, [https://www.britannica.com/topic/postmodernism-philosophy](https://www.britannica.com/topic/postmodernism-philosophy).

Select quotes:

**postmodernism**, also spelled **post-modernism**, in Western philosophy, a late 20th-century movement characterized by broad skepticism, subjectivism, or relativism; a general suspicion of reason; and an acute sensitivity to the role of ideology in asserting and maintaining political and economic power.

[M]any of the doctrines characteristically associated with postmodernism can fairly be described as the straightforward denial of general philosophical viewpoints that were taken for granted during the 18th-century Enlightenment [including]:

1. There is an objective natural reality, a reality whose existence and properties are logically independent of human beings
2. The descriptive and explanatory statements of scientists and historians can, in principle, be objectively true or false. The postmodern denial of this viewpoint—which follows from the rejection of an objective natural reality—is sometimes expressed by saying that there is no such thing as Truth.
3. Through the use of reason and logic, and with the more specialized tools provided by science and technology, human beings are likely to change themselves and their societies for the better. It is reasonable to expect that future societies will be more humane, more just, more enlightened, and more prosperous than they are now. Postmodernists deny this Enlightenment faith in science and technology as instruments of human progress.
4. Reason and logic are universally valid—i.e., their laws are the same for, or apply equally to, any thinker and any domain of knowledge. For postmodernists, reason and logic too are merely conceptual constructs and are therefore valid only within the established intellectual traditions in which they are used.

This means that the discourse of modern science, when considered apart from the evidential standards internal to it, has no greater purchase on the truth than do alternative perspectives, including (for example) astrology and witchcraft.
This is some sort of handout, probably to be used in training or consciousness-raising sessions. Nonetheless, it not only seeks to distill core critical race theory ideas, it has been cited well over 100 times according to Google Scholar (quite an accomplishment for a handout). It is cited in articles and books with titles like: Decolonizing Wealth, Towards intersectional and feminist participatory ML, Developing and reflecting on a Black disabilities pedagogy.

Quotes:

White Supremacy Culture involves: perfectionism, urgency, belief that there is one right way to do things, individualism.

We note that no evidence was presented for any of this and that these can be viewed as pejorative terms for values related to merit in most cases. Modify perfectionism to “caring about doing something well,” “urgency” to “timely,” “belief that there is one right way” to “some ways really are better than others at accomplishing many things” and “individualism” to “taking personal responsibility and wanting credit due where credit was earned” and this critical analysis of “White Supremacy Culture” collapses.

Source: Tweets by Chandra Prescod-Weinstein, an award-winning physicist.


Quotes:

Science has always been in conflict with narratives. Judged by the yardstick of science, the majority of them prove to be fables. But to the extent that science does not restrict
itself to stating useful regularities and seeks the truth, it is obliged to legitimate the rules of its own game. It then produces a discourse of legitimation with respect to its own status, a discourse called philosophy. I will use the term modern to designate any science that legitimates itself with reference to a meta-discourse of this kind making an explicit appeal to some grand narrative, such as the dialectics of Spirit, the hermeneutics of meaning, the emancipation of the rational or working subject, or the creation of wealth.

Simplifying to the extreme, I define postmodern as incredulity toward metanarratives.


**Proposed alternative to merit:**

Of the 40,000-plus applicants, winnow out those who are unlikely to flourish at Harvard or Stanford, those who are not qualified to perform well and to contribute to the education of their fellow students. This would leave the admissions committee with, say, 30,000 qualified contenders, or 25,000, or 20,000. Rather than engage in the exceedingly difficult and uncertain task of trying to predict who among them are the most surpassingly meritorious, choose the entering class by lottery.

**S2. Examples of Feminist Perspectives That Embrace Objectivity, Empiricism, Science and Robust Discourse as Part of Truth-Seeking**

Feminist scholarship is also vast, and our paper was not a review of it, or even of these sources. They are presented here as examples of feminist scholarship which, regardless of whatever other points they make, accept and embrace empiricism, objectivity, and free and robust social discourse on scientific issues.


**Quotes:**

Thus standpoint [epistemological] approaches differ from interpretive ones. Because standpoint theory is persistently misread as a kind of "perspectivalism" that generates relativistic interpretations of nature and social relations, I shall risk repetition here. To start thought from marginal lives is not to take as incorrigible- as the irrefutable grounds for knowledge- what marginal people say or interpretations of their experiences. Listening carefully to what marginalized people say- with fairness, honesty, and detachment- and trying to understand their life worlds are crucial first steps in gaining less partial and distorted accounts of the entire social order; but these could not be the last step.

As the history of thought shows, thinkers who are not themselves members of marginalized groups can generate these accounts that maximize strong objectivity. John Stuart Mill was not a woman, though he produced one of the most powerful feminist analyses that begins thinking about social relations between the genders from the perspective of women's lives.

**Quotes:**

The view of scientific knowledge and reason that I have developed and applied in this book turns out to be an empiricist one.

If scientific inquiry is to provide knowledge, rather than a random collection of opinions, there must be some way of minimizing the influence of subjective preferences and controlling the role of background assumptions.

…objectivity is analyzed as a function of community practices rather than as an attitude of individual researchers…

**S3. Anything Can be Problematized, Some Examples**

CSJ typically “problematizes” things in order to, supposedly, reveal the depths of white supremacy, Euro-colonial hegemony, patriarchy, etc. And it is certainly true that some practices can be identified that unambiguously reflect these (e.g., slavery, conquering non-European countries and establishing colonies, prohibiting women from voting).

However, CSJ advocates attempts to “problematize” things has reached fad status, and a reasonably intelligent person trained in CSJ can problematize anything. Like the boy who cried wolf, such analyses no longer deserve credibility. First, we just show how easy it is to “problematize” some trivial everyday events.

Dog-walking: Owning dogs while people live in abject poverty and are starving reflects the height of neo-liberal exploitation and the callous nature of hegemonic systems of inequality and injustice.

Saying to a friend as you meet them: “It’s a beautiful day today.” The problematizing response: “That is so racist. It may be beautiful to you, but to the Black men unjustly incarcerated at the hands of the American White supremacist criminal justice system it is just another day surrounded by stone walls and iron bars.”

Just in case one might be tempted to dismiss these examples as us creating an absurd strawman argument with which no advocate of CSJ would ever advance, we present these:

Calls to rename The Masters Golf Tournament and “master bedroom” because “master” is racist:  

Oxford students vote to replace clapping with silent “jazz hands” because clapping is ableist:
Ex-British Member of Parliament calls Kelloggs cereal Rice Crispies racist because the elves that appear on the box are White: https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-cambridgeshire-53060894

A chemistry education paper (published by the American Chemical Society) presents a course (taught at East Carolina University) in which “Unit 3 explored the development and interrelationship between quantum mechanics, Marxist materialism, Afro-futurism/pessimism, and postcolonial nationalism. To problematize time as a linear social construct, the Copenhagen interpretation of the collapse of wave-particle duality was utilized.” https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.jchemed.2c00293

The Inclusivity Style Guide of the American Chemical Society recommends to avoid using words and expressions such as “double-blind studies,” “open our eyes,” “healthy weight,” “breastfeed,” “dark times,” “voodoo economics,” “black market,” “the New World,” “cakewalk,” “elders,” “champion,” and “other” (the latter in the context of forms collecting demographic information): https://www.acs.org/about/diversity/inclusivity-style-guide.html

School of Social Work at the University of Southern California and the State of Michigan Department of Health and Human Services have banned the word “field” as racist and anti-immigrant (because slaves used to work in the fields): https://lawrencekrauss.substack.com/p/apparently-social-work-may-no-longer

White people are racist if they speak up: https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/06/03/best-white-statement-may-be-shut-up-listen/
and they are racist if they stay silent: https://www.afsc.org/image/white-silence-violence

This latter contradiction is trenchant because if the exact opposite behaviors can be “problematized” doing so is rendered completely meaningless.

We thank Andrew Doyle for compiling the sources some of which are mentioned in this list and posting them on Twitter. You can find many more like this here: https://twitter.com/TitaniaMcGrath/status/1281024006792060929

S4. “Equitable Hiring”

A set of resources put together by the Rutgers Psychology Diversity and Climate Committee. They are presented here because, despite the use of social justice terms and framing, what these resources advocate is being alert to potential bias in order to engage in fully merit-based hiring. This can be seen in the repeated emphasis on maintaining the same standards for different applicants, which presumes the existence and value of having standards.
Social Area Graduate DCC Tip of the Month: November 2022

Hiring with Equity in Mind
This month’s DCC tip highlights equitable hiring practices to keep in mind while attempting to build a more diverse, equitable, and inclusive program, lab, or department.

Create Consistency & Reduce Ambiguity
Consistency means keeping the same practices, assessments, and standards in place for each candidate. This way, deviations in performance can be attributed to the candidate rather than differences in the hiring process itself.

- Consistency means keeping the same practices, assessments, and standards in place for each candidate.
- By critically evaluating hiring practices, we can identify inconsistencies that may lead to equity gaps.
- Beware of ambiguity, which opens decisions to implicit bias.
- How to reduce ambiguity:
  - Create uniform and consistent hiring criteria or hiring rubrics utilized by the whole hiring committee.
  - Define success criteria to inform decisions when presented with multiple qualified candidates.

Consider which pieces of recruitment and hiring are kept consistent, which are variable, and why.

Reconsider “Culture Fit”
“Culture fit” means alignment with an organization’s values and mission, as well as the potential employee’s ability to fit in with other members of the community.

- Culture fit can lead to biased hiring and groupthink, hinder diversity, and reinforce existing biases.

Culture fit is often considered in hiring practices, but:
- Culture fit can never be clearly defined.
- As a result, inconsistency and bias can color decisions made by considering “culture fit”.
- Culture fit can also reduce organizational diversity by encouraging the hiring of others “like us.”

Challenge First Impressions
We make first impressions based on dress, speech, personality traits, punctuality, and other factors.

- All of these factors go through our own filters that represent our own values.
- As a result, they can be subject to bias.

Challenge your first impressions by asking:
- Why do I believe people should dress in the same way?
- Is my expectation something the potential employee may not know? How might someone else see the situation differently?
- What does this impression actually reflect about the potential employee’s future performance?

Take Away Tips
1. Create and maintain consistent hiring practices, and be transparent about hiring criteria.
2. Beware of ambiguity, which can open decisions to bias.
3. Reflect on how “culture fit” criteria may reproduce homogeneity within your program or lab.
4. Second guess your first impressions! Consider how they may be colored by your own values, and how well they actually predict employee success.
5. Equitable practices require continual self-reflection. We’re all in this together!

References to “Equitable Hiring” resources:


https://hbr.org/2019/06/how-to-reduce-personal-bias-when-hiring

S5. Biographical Sketches of the Authors
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Sadredin (Dean) Moosavi is a contingent faculty member of Earth Science at Rochester Community Technical College. He received his MS and PhD in Earth Science with a Specialization in Geochemical Systems from the University of New Hampshire in 1998. His biogeochemistry work focused on controls on methane production and oxidation in boreal and arctic ecosystems along with geomorphological studies studying the effects of hurricanes and the BP oil spill on coastal erosion of barrier islands. As a geoscience educator Dr. Moosavi has worked on improving public understanding of the geosciences by focusing on preparation of pre and in-service science teachers and work with undergraduate students using place-based educational techniques. He has worked across the educational spectrum from professional societies ( Geological Society of America), a public high school (Oyster River, Durham, NH) to community colleges (Rochester Community Technical College), comprehensive undergraduate institutions (Minnesota State University Mankato), selective liberal arts colleges (Gustavus Adolphus), and tier one research universities (Tulane University).

Patricia Nayna Schwerdtle Academic at Heidelberg Institute of Global Health, Faculty of Medicine, Heidelberg University, Germany. Adjunct Academic at the Faculty of Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, Monash University, Australia. patricia.schwerdtle@uni-heidelberg.de; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3045-3145

Patricia Nayna Schwerdtle is a global public health academic who is completing her PhD in the Faculty of Medicine at the Heidelberg Institute of Global Health, Heidelberg University,
Germany. She also studied at Deakin University, Monash University and Curtin University in Australia. Her principal area of research is climate change and health. Patricia’s PhD focuses on climate-related migration and health in Bangladesh and her post-doc addresses climate change adaptation in Sub-Saharan Africa. She has worked in the field of emergency, medical humanitarian assistance since 2007 and has governance experience. Patricia advises Governments, NGOs, and Universities on climate change and health, and building climate-resilient, migrant-inclusive and environmentally sustainable health systems.

**Judea Pearl**, Chancellor Professor of Computer Science and Statistics, University of California–Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA; [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0295-0435](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0295-0435)

**Judea Pearl** is chancellor professor of computer science and statistics at UCLA, where he directs the Cognitive Systems Laboratory and conducts research in artificial intelligence, human cognition, and philosophy of science.


Pearl is a member of the National Academy of Sciences and the National Academy of Engineering. In 2011 he won Technion’s Harvey Prize and the ACM’s A.M. Turing Award “for fundamental contributions to artificial intelligence through the development of a calculus for probabilistic and causal reasoning.” In 2022 he won a BBVA Foundation Frontiers of Knowledge Award for “laying the foundations of modern artificial intelligence.”

**Marisol A. Quintanilla-Tornel**, Professor of Nematology, Department of Entomology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA; [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6098-7981](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6098-7981); [https://www.canr.msu.edu/nemasoil/](https://www.canr.msu.edu/nemasoil/); marisol@msu.edu
Marisol Quintanilla-Tornel is an assistant professor of nematology at Michigan State University. She grew up on a family farm in Chile that produces table grapes and diverse other crops, and received her master’s and doctoral degrees at Michigan State University. Marisol’s research interest is finding applied solutions to plant parasitic nematodes in field, vegetable, fruit, and ornamental crops.

H. Fritz Schaefer III, Graham Perdue Professor of Chemistry and Director of the Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA

H. Fritz Schaefer III is the Graham Perdue Professor of Chemistry and Director of the Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry at the University of Georgia. Born in Grand Rapids, Michigan, USA, Schaefer received a BS degree in chemical physics from the MIT and a PhD degree in chemical physics from Stanford University. Prior to joining the University of Georgia, he served as a professor of chemistry at the University of California, Berkeley and as the Wilfred T. Doherty Professor of Chemistry at the University of Texas, Austin, where he was also the inaugural Director of the Institute for Theoretical Chemistry. Professor Schaefer’s research involves the use of state-of-the-art computational hardware and theoretical methods to solve important problems in molecular quantum mechanics. One of the world’s most highly cited scientists, Schaefer is the author of more than 1700 scientific publications. Professor Schaefer’s major awards include the American Chemical Society’s Award in Pure Chemistry, Award in Theoretical Chemistry, Leo Hendrik Baekeland Award, Ira Remsen Award, and Peter Debye Award in Physical Chemistry; the Schrödinger Medal; the Centenary Medal of the Royal Society of Chemistry; the Gold Medal of the American Institute of Chemists; the Joseph O. Hirschfelder Prize of the University of Wisconsin; the Ide P. Trotter Prize of Texas A&M University; an Alexander von Humboldt Award; the SURA Distinguished Scientist Award; and the Chemical Pioneer Award of the American Institute of Chemists. He is a Fellow of the American Academy
of Arts and Sciences, the Royal Society of Chemistry, the American Chemical Society, the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the John S. Guggenheim Foundation, the American Physical Society, and the American Association for the Advancement of Science; an Honorary Fellow of the Chemical Research Society of India; and the recipient of 31 honorary degrees. Schaefer served as Editor-in-Chief of the London-based journal Molecular Physics for ten years. He is currently Associate Editor for the journal Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. He was also the longest serving President of the World Association of Theoretical and Computational Chemists.

Peter R. Schreiner, Professor and Liebig-Chair, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3608-5515; p.r.schreiner@icloud.com

Peter R. Schreiner studied chemistry in his native city at the University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany, where he received his Dr. rer. nat. in Organic Chemistry. Shortly thereafter, he obtained a PhD in Computational Chemistry from the University of Georgia, USA. He became head of the institute in Giessen in 2002. His research interests include organic reaction dynamics and reactive intermediates, quantum mechanical tunneling as well as London dispersion interactions as probed in the realm of nanodiamonds and organocatalysis. Schreiner is an elected member of the Leopoldina–German National Academy of Sciences, the North Rhine-Westphalian Academy of Sciences, Humanities, and the Arts, the Academy of Science and Literature (Mainz), and is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry. He received the Dirac Medal (2003), the Adolf-von-Baeyer Memorial Award of the German Chemical Society in 2017, the RSC Award in Physical Organic Chemistry of the RSC in 2019, the Academy Award of the Berlin-Brandenburg Academy of Science in 2020, and the ACS Arthur C. Cope Scholar Award 2021. He has been a visiting professor at the CNRS in Bordeaux, the Technion in Haifa, the Australian National University in Canberra, and the University of Florida in Gainesville. Schreiner is Editor-in-Chief of Wires Computational Molecular Science, an Editor of the Journal of Computational Chemistry, and Associate Editor of the Beilstein Journal of Organic Chemistry.

Peter Schwerdtfeger, Distinguished Professor, Director, Centre for Theoretical Chemistry and Physics, Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4845-686X; peter.schwerdtfeger@gmail.com
Peter Schwerdtfeger took his first degree in Chemical Engineering (Aalen), and studied both chemistry and mathematics at Stuttgart University where he received his PhD. He habilitated at Marburg University, held a position as a software analyst at Stuttgart University before receiving a Feodor-Lynen fellowship of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation to join Auckland University and the ANU (Canberra). He has been a member of staff at Massey as a Professor for 18 years. During this time, he has earned many awards, amongst them the Prince & Princess of Wales Science Award, the Hector medal, Memberships of the Royal Society New Zealand, the International Academy of Quantum Molecular Science and the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters, a James Cook Fellowship, the Humboldt Research Prize, the Fukui Medal, the Rutherford Medal, and most recently the Dan Walls Medal in physics. He has served on numerous research and management committees, international societies and editorial boards. His current research interest is in fundamental chemistry and physics including special relativity, quantum mechanics and quantum field theory. Hobbies include classical music, literature and philosophy, and university politics (believe it or not).

Dan Shechtman, Nobel laureate, The Technion–Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel; Department of Materials Science and Engineering, College of Engineering, Iowa State University, Iowa, USA

Dan Shechtman received his doctorate at the Technion–Israel Institute of Technology in Haifa in 1972. Following three years of post-doctoral work in the USA, he returned to his Alma Mater and recently retired as distinguished professor. He was awarded, alone, the 2011 Nobel Prize in Chemistry for the discovery of quasicrystals. Shechtman’s at-first-controversial discovery of quasicrystals and quasi-periodicity in 1982 fundamentally changed the way scientists look at
solid matter—it caused a paradigm shift in crystallography. A crystal structure of quasicrystals had, until then, been considered impossible. The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences awarded Shechtman the Nobel Prize for the creation of a new cross-disciplinary branch of science, which enriched chemistry, physics and mathematics. Shechtman’s current research efforts center on development of magnesium alloys for a variety of applications. Shechtman is also involved in educational projects. At the Technion he initiated and taught a class in technological entrepreneurship beginning in 1987. More than 10,000 engineers and scientists in Israel have taken part in this famous program. He also founded a scientific program for 5-year-old children and since 2011 he has promoted scientific education worldwide. Each year, he delivers almost 100 lectures about his discovery of quasicrystals, as well as about the importance of science for society, the significance of education, and the importance of technological entrepreneurship for wellbeing and peace in the world. Shechtman is a member of several science academies and an honorary member of various professional associations around the world. Besides the Nobel Prize, he has received other prestigious awards including the Wolf Prize in Physics, the Gregori Aminoff Prize of the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, and the EMRS Award (European Materials Research Society).

Mikhail Shifman, Ida Cohen Fine Professor of Theoretical Physics, William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3851-3672; shifman@umn.edu

Mikhail Shifman is the Ida Cohen Fine Professor of Theoretical Physics at William I. Fine Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Minnesota. Born in 1949 in Riga, Latvia (then the USSR), he received his education in Moscow. He is the author of over 400 papers in all areas of high-energy physics — some of them are among the most cited in the field. He carried out breakthrough research in Yang-Mills theories at strong coupling, including the first exact results in supersymmetry, discovered the penguin mechanism of flavor-changing weak decays and suggested invisible axions. In 2016 he was awarded the Dirac Medal for achievements in Theoretical Physics. Since 2018 Shifman is a member of the US National Academy of Sciences. Recently, Shifman authored a number of textbooks on QFT and books on history of quantum science between two wars (e.g. George Placzek: A Nuclear Physicist's Odyssey, 2018).

Jay Tanzman, Independent Statistician, Pasadena, California, USA; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8361-9292; jay.tanzman@gmail.com
Jay Tanzman is a freelance statistician working in the areas of biomedicine and the social sciences. He has designed and analyzed clinical and field trials in such diverse areas as cardiac medicine, dentistry, human nutrition, and education. He has also worked with prominent experimental psychologists to critically examine the statistical practices used in their field. The collaboration’s highly cited discovery of widespread systematic statistical errors in psychology articles in the journal *Science* led to increased emphasis on reproducibility in experimental psychology and to greater analytical rigor in the field.

Bernhardt L. Trout, the Raymond F. Baddour, ScD, (1949) Professor of Chemical Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1417-9470; trout@mit.edu; http://web.mit.edu/troutgroup/

Bernhardt L. Trout is the Raymond F. Baddour, ScD, (1949) Professor of Chemical Engineering at MIT. He received his SB and SM degrees from MIT and his PhD from the University of California at Berkeley. In addition, he performed post-doctoral research at the Max-Planck Institute. Trout’s research focuses on the development of advanced manufacturing processes and rational tools for formulation and product design, primarily liquid formulations, but also lyophilized formulations. A major aspect of his research focuses on developing both microscopic and macroscopic models to design stable formulations efficiently. In 2007, with several colleagues from MIT, he set up the Novartis-MIT Center for Continuous Manufacturing with the objective of transforming pharmaceutical manufacturing. Among other outputs, this led in 2011 to the first end-to-end fully integrated and automated pharmaceutical process (bench scale). He was also Co-Chair of the Singapore-MIT Alliance Program on Chemical and Pharmaceutical Engineering. In addition to Novartis, he has worked with many other pharmaceutical companies in research or consulting. He has also developed a host of computational methods for the design of biologics products taking into account developability and manufacturability and continues to work in the field of continuous manufacturing. He
recently started a project with the FDA on a continuous lyophilization process. In addition, he is Co-Chair of the International Symposium on Continuous Manufacturing of Pharmaceuticals. He has published over 200 papers and has 18 patents issued or pending.

**Arieh Warshel**, Nobel Laureate, Distinguished Professor of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Dana and David Dornsife Chair in Chemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA; [https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7971-5401](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7971-5401)

**Arieh Warshel** is a Distinguished Professor of Chemistry and Biochemistry at the University of Southern California, where he holds the Dana and David Dornsife Chair in Chemistry. Prof. Warshel pioneered the field of computational enzymology, being the driving force behind the development of computer simulations of the functions of biological systems that have allowed scientists to understand how life processes operate on the protein level. Marrying classical and quantum mechanics, he and colleagues Martin Karplus and Michael Levitt received the Nobel Prize for developing powerful computer models that researchers use to understand complex chemical interactions and create new drugs. Warshel was born in Kibbutz Sde-Nahom in Israel and earned his Summa Cum Laude BSc in chemistry from the Technion in 1966. He went on to earn his master’s and doctoral degrees from the Weizmann Institute of Science, where he was a senior scientist and associated professor as well as an EMBO fellow at the MRC in Cambridge England, before joining the University of Southern California in 1976. He is a member of the National Academy of Science, an Honorary Fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry, and a Fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science. He is also a foreign member of the Russian Academy of Science. Warshel’s numerous awards include the Tolman Medal, the RSC’s Soft Matter and Biophysical Chemistry Award, and the Biophysical Society’s Founders Award. He has received honorary doctorates from the Technion, Bar Ilan University, the University of Uppsala, Lodz University, and the Chinese University of Hong Kong.

**James West**, Professor of Medicine & Biomedical Engineering, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, Tennessee, USA; [https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6004-0202](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6004-0202); j.west@vanderbilt.edu
James West is a professor of medicine in the division of allergy, pulmonary, and critical care medicine. He spent his early life in South America (his first language was Spanish), Europe, and the Middle East, before moving to the U.S. permanently as a teenager. He received his PhD degree (in condensed matter physics) from the Georgia Institute of Technology. His research interests include pulmonary vascular disease, lung fibrosis, and heart fibrosis and failure, using a combination of cell culture, mouse models, and patients. He also is involved in several projects in improving livestock health.