Publication ethics and malpractice statement

The *Journal of Controversial Ideas* is committed to the highest standards of publication ethics. Our policies in this regard are outlined below.

Authorship and contributorship

Authors must make a substantive contribution to the manuscript in the form of ideas, analysis, data collection or writing. Less significant contributions should be dealt with via acknowledgements. The number and sequence of authors may be changed during the review process. All authors must consent to the final version of the manuscript. Pseudonymous submissions are allowed, as noted in our editorial policies.

Complaints and appeals

Complaints should be submitted to the editors at the email address given on the website. These will be considered and dealt with in a timely manner. If the matter is editorial in nature, the editors will reach a decision amongst themselves. If the matter concerns a point in a published article that goes beyond the editors' expertise, they will consult relevant experts. If appropriate, the editors will invite a formal rebuttal or request a correction. Articles will be retracted only on the grounds specified by the Committee on Publication Ethics.

Research misconduct

Allegations of serious research misconduct will be dealt with by consulting relevant experts, as noted above. Authors will be given an opportunity to respond. The editors may re-consult relevant experts after receiving the authors' response. They will then reach a collective decision. If the allegations are deemed to have been proven, the offending article will be retracted and a notice will be posted on the website.

Conflicts of interest

Authors should declare any conflicts of interest upfront via a statement in their manuscript. These will be considered by the editors and reviewers during the review process. If the article is accepted for publication, the statement must appear in the final version.

Data sharing and reproducibility

Authors are strongly encouraged to make their data and code available for other researchers. Uploading the materials to their personal website or an online repository is preferred over a generic statement to the effect that such materials will be made available on request. Where appropriate, the editors will ask relevant experts to review the data and code during the review process.

Ethical oversight

Authors must provide evidence of ethical approval for their research if asked to do so by the editors. The editors will strictly adhere to the policies outlined here, consulting the editorial board in cases of disagreement amongst themselves. The editorial board includes a number of distinguished scholars with expertise in ethics and research practice.

Intellectual property

As an open access journal, authors retain full ownership of their articles, which are published under a Creative Commons license. Hence no transfer of copyright is required.

Post-publication discussions

These will be handled as described under 'Complaints and appeals'.

Corrections and retractions

These will be handled as described under 'Complaints and appeals' and 'Research misconduct'.

Plagiarism, citation manipulation, data fabrication and other issues

These will be handled as described under 'Research misconduct'. The journal employs a rigorous, double-blind peer-review process for every paper, details of which are given on the [website](). We aim to detect plagiarism, citation manipulation and data fabrication during the review process or at initial screening. Where appropriate, AI tools will be used to assist in such efforts.